

Table of Contents

Table of Contents	1
Complex bandstructure of Si(100)	2
Background	2
Si(100) surface	3
Complex bandstructure calculation	4
Analysing the results	6
3D and 2D visualizations	6
References	10



Complex bandstructure of Si(100)

Version: 2015.1

Downloads & Links

- [PDF version](#)
- [si_100_cbs.py](#)
- [3D_plot.py](#)
- [2D_plot.py](#)
- [ComplexBandstructure](#) | [Basic QuantumATK Tutorial](#)
- [ATK Reference Manual](#)

In this tutorial you will calculate the complex bandstructure of a silicon crystal along the (100) direction.

In particular you will:

1. create the Si(100) surface;
2. set up and run the calculation;
3. plot the complex bandstructure (3D and 2D).



Background

In a periodic solid the eigenstates

ψ_{nk} of the Schrödinger equation

$H\psi_{nk} = E_{nk}S\psi_{nk}$ (

S is the overlap matrix) can be written as

$\psi_{nk}(\mathbf{r}) = e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}U_{nk}(\mathbf{r})$, where

$U_{nk}(\mathbf{r})$ is a periodic function with the same periodicity as the crystal itself. In a usual bandstructure calculation, the wave vector

\mathbf{k} is a real number, and by solving the Schrödinger equation above for various fixed values of

\mathbf{k} (typically located along different symmetry lines in the first Brillouin zone) an eigenproblem is defined, from which the eigenenergies

E_{nk} (i.e. the bandstructure) can be determined.

When calculating the complex bandstructure another approach is taken ^[1]. Instead the energy E is fixed, and the values of


k which solve the Schrödinger equation are sought. Such solutions will be found with both real and complex k ; the solutions with a real k are the usual Bloch states, while the solutions with an imaginary part are exponentially decaying in one direction and increasing in the other. Such solutions cannot exist in a bulk material, and so they are normally ignored in a bandstructure calculation. They may however exist at a surface or interface, and they give information about how electronic states decay in the material, for instance through a thin tunneling barrier.


Note

You will primarily use the graphical user interface QuantumATK for setting up and analyzing the results. If you are new to QuantumATK, it is recommended to go through the [Basic QuantumATK Tutorial](#).

The calculations in this tutorial will be performed using the semi-empirical models of QuantumATK. A complete description of all the parameters, and in many cases a longer discussion about their physical relevance, can be found in the [ATK Reference Manual](#). In particular, the Reference Manual entry for complex bandstructure calculations is of relevance: [ComplexBandstructure](#).


Si(100) surface

Start QuantumATK and create a new project named “ComplexBandstructure”. Then click *Open* and launch the **Builder** via the icon  on the toolbar.

In the Builder, click Add ▶ From Database and locate the “Silicon (alpha)” structure. Double-click the line to add the structure to the Stash, or click the  icon in the lower right-hand corner.

Unfold *Builders* from the plugin panel and open the Surface (Cleave) tool, and follow the next steps to set up the Si(100) surface:

1. Keep the default Miller indices (100) and click *Next*.
2. Keep the default lattice vectors and click *Next*.
3. Select *Periodic (bulk-like)* for the out-of-plane cell vector.
4. Set the thickness of the surface to 1 layer.
5. Click *Finish* to add the Si(100) surface structure to the Stash.







With these settings we use a surface representation with a minimum number of layers in order to reduce the calculation time and avoid zone folding.


Note


The cleave plane – in the present case (100) – is always spanned by the two first unit cell vectors, and
B. In the “electrode” mode, the normal to this plane coincides with
C, the third unit cell vector, but in the “bulk-like” mode this is not the case. In QuantumATK the complex bandstructure is always computed along the third reciprocal vector, g_C , which is of course parallel to $A \times B$. With the present geometry you will therefore obtain the complex bandstructure along (100).

Complex bandstructure calculation


Send the structure to the **Script Generator**  using the  icon in the lower right-hand corner of the Builder and follow the next steps:

1. Add a  New Calculator block.
2. Add the  Analysis ► ComplexBandStructure block.
3. Change the default output filename to `si_100_cbs.hdf5`.



Next, open the inserted  New Calculator block (double-click it), and set up a tight-binding calculation:

1. Select the *ATK-SE: Extended Hückel* calculator.
2. Set the k-point sampling to 5x5x5.
3. Under Huckel Basis set, select the *Cerda.Silicon [diamond GW]* basis set. This basis set has been fitted to GW calculations, and gives an excellent description of the bandstructure, including the size of the band gap.
4. Close the dialogue by clicking *OK*.

Next, open the  ComplexBandStructure analysis block, and edit it:

- Set the energy range to -15 to 10 eV with 501 points.

Complex Bandstructure

Complex Bandstructure

Energy Range

E₀ (eV)

-15

E₁ (eV)

10

Points

501

k-point

k_A

0

k_B

0

Energy zero parameter

Fermi level

IO

☒ Save ☐ Print

File

si_100_cbs.nc

...


Label

OK

Note

The projection of \mathbf{k} on the cleave plane is kept constant in the complex and structure calculation, and the value of the projection is specified by the (k_A, k_B) parameters, which are given in units of the two first reciprocal lattice vectors, \mathbf{g}_A and \mathbf{g}_B . Therefore, the obtained solutions will lie along the third reciprocal lattice vector, \mathbf{g}_C , which is parallel to the cleave plane normal. A new set of solutions $\mathbf{k}_C + i\kappa_C$ is obtained for each value of (k_A, k_B) .

Please note that k_C is therefore the *real* part of the complex bandstructure, while $kappa_C$ is the *complex* part.

You have now finished the Python script. Save it as `si_100_cbs.py` and send it to the **Job Manager**  for execution. It should only take a few minutes for this small system. If needed, you can also download the script here: [📄 si_100_cbs.py](#).

Tip

This type of calculation parallelizes extremely well, so for larger structures it is warmly recommended

to execute the script in parallel.

Analysing the results

The file `si_100_cbs.hdf5` should now have appeared on the QuantumATK LabFloor. It contains the saved Hückel calculation and the ComplexBandstructure analysis object:

`k⊥(ϵ , k||)` ComplexBandstructure

Select that analysis object and click **Show 2D Plot** tool from the right-hand side plugins panel. A window with a plot of the complex bandstructure pops up. Zoom in a bit to filter out the solutions with large values of the complex part

κ_C , since these are not really relevant:




Fig. 55 Si(100) bandstructure. The right-hand part of the plot shows the *real* bands – note the indirect band gap of ~ 1.2 eV. The left-hand part of the plot shows the *complex* bands, plotted against the imaginary part,

κ_C . The bands with a small imaginary part are the most important ones, since they are less damped if you should try to tunnel electrons through a thin slice of silicon in the (100) direction.¶

Note

In the plot above, the solutions

κ_C are given in reciprocal Cartesian coordinates, to make it easier to compare different structures. For the real part of the bandstructure, on the other hand, the solutions


k_C are normalized by

L , the layer separation perpendicular to the cleave plane. In the case of a fcc crystal cleaved along (100), the layer separation is


$L = a/2$, where

a is the lattice constant. The layer separation can be extracted from the ComplexBandstructure object using the `layerSeparation()` method, see [ComplexBandstructure](#).

3D and 2D visualizations

In the complex part of the bandstructure, the solutions actually have a real part too. Thus, they could be visualized in a three-dimensional plot, $(x, y, z) = (k_C, \kappa_C, E)$. This is possible with the following script. Open the QuantumATK Editor  and copy-paste the script and save it as `3D_plot.py`. Make sure that the indentation is correct. Alternatively, you can simply download it here: [3D_plot.py](#).

```
1 from QuantumATK import *
2 from mpl_toolkits.mplot3d import Axes3D
3 import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
4 import math
5
6 # Read the complex bandstructure object from the NC file
7 cbs = nread('si_100_cbs.nc', ComplexBandstructure)[-1]
8 energies = cbs.energies().inUnitsOf(eV)
9 k_real, k_complex = cbs.evaluate()
10 L = cbs.layerSeparation()
11
12 fig = plt.figure()
13 ax = fig.add_subplot(111, projection='3d')
14
15 # First plot the real bands
16 kvr = numpy.array([])
17 e = numpy.array([])
18
19 # Loop over energies, and pick those where we have solutions
20 for (j, energy) in enumerate(energies):
21     k = k_real[j]*L/math.pi
22     if len(k)>0:
23         e = numpy.append(e, [energy,]*len(k))
24         kvr = numpy.append(kvr, k)
25
26 # Plot the bands with red
27 ax.scatter([0.0,]*len(kvr), kvr, e, c='r', marker='o', linewidths=0, s=10)
28
29 # Next plot the complex bands
30 kvr = []
31 kvi = []
32 e = []
33
34 # Again loop over energies and pick solutions
35 for (j, energy) in enumerate(energies):
36     if len(k_complex[j])>0:
37         for x in numpy.array(k_complex[j]*L/math.pi):
38             kr = numpy.abs(x.real)
39             ki = -numpy.abs(x.imag)
40             # Discard rapidly decaying modes which clutter the plot
41             if ki>-0.3:
42                 e += [energy]
43                 kvr += [kr]
44                 kvi += [ki]
45
46 # Plot the complex bands with blue
47 ax.scatter(kvi, kvr, e, c='b', marker='o', linewidths=0, s=10)
48
49 # Put on labels
50 ax.set_xlabel('$\kappa$ (1/Ang)')
51 ax.set_ylabel('$kL/\pi$')
52 ax.set_zlabel('Energy / eV')
53
54 plt.show()
```

Execute the script using the **Job Manager**  or from a Terminal. The plot will resemble the figure below, but your points will be more scattered, since the figure below was produced from a Hückel calculation with 10,001 energy points instead of 501.




Fig. 56 3D visualization of the complex bandstructure of Si(100). The real bands are plotted with red dots and the complex part in blue. Note how the complex bands connect with the real bands. 🔗

Another way to visualize the real part of the complex bandstructure is using colors. The script [2D_plot.py](#) does this (you can see the script below). It is a bit complicated towards the end, but that part is just for placing the colorbar, and could be omitted.




Fig. 57 2D visualization of the complex bandstructure. Note how the color-coding of the k -values applies both to the real and the complex parts of the bandstructure, which makes it easier to see where the complex bands are attached to the real ones.

The “forest” of complex bands with a rather large value of κ are not usually seen in tight-binding simulations. However, for DFT and Hückel, the basis sets are larger, so there are more complex bands as well, connecting unoccupied levels with various valence bands.

```

1 from QuantumATK import *
2 import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
3 import math
4
5 # Read the complex bandstructure object from the NC file
6 cbs = nload('si_100_cbs.nc', ComplexBandstructure)[-1]
7 energies = cbs.energies().inUnitsOf(eV)
8 k_real, k_complex = cbs.evaluate()
9
10 ax = plt.axes()
11 cmap="Spectral"
12
13 # First plot the real bands
14 kvr = numpy.array([])
15 e = numpy.array([])
16 for (j, energy) in enumerate(energies):
17     k = k_real[j]*cbs.layerSeparation()/math.pi
18     if len(k)>0:
19         e = numpy.append(e,[energy,]*len(k))
20         kvr = numpy.append(kvr,k)
21
22 # Plot
23 ax.scatter(kvr, e,
24           c=numpy.abs(kvr),
25           cmap=cmap, marker='o', linewidths=0, s=10)
26
27 # Next plot the complex bands

```

```

28 kvr = numpy.array([])
29 kvi = numpy.array([])
30 e = numpy.array([])
31
32 for (j, energy) in enumerate(energies):
33     if len(k_complex[j])>0:
34         kr = [numpy.abs(x.real) for x in k_complex[j]]
35         ki = [numpy.abs(x.imag) for x in k_complex[j]]
36         e = numpy.append(e,[energy,]*len(kr))
37         kvr = numpy.append(kvr,kr)
38         kvi = numpy.append(kvi,ki)
39
40 # Plot with color depending on the imaginary part (corresponding to real k-points)
41 sc = ax.scatter(-kvi, e,
42                c=kvr,
43                cmap=cmap, marker='o', linewidths=0, s=10)
44
45 # Put on labels and decorations
46 ax.axvline(0,color='b')
47 ax.grid(True, which='major')
48 ax.set_xlim(-1, 1)
49 ax.set_ylim(-15, 10)
50 ax.annotate('$\kappa$ (1/Ang)', xy=(0.25,-0.07), xycoords="axes fraction", ha="center")
51 ax.annotate('$k_L / \pi$', xy=(0.75,-0.07), xycoords="axes fraction", ha="center")
52 ax.set_ylabel('Energy / eV')
53
54 # Add a colorbar
55 fig = plt.gcf()
56 x1, x2, y1, y2 = 0., 1, ax.get_ylim()[0], ax.get_ylim()[0]+1
57 trans = ax.transData + fig.transFigure.inverted()
58 ax_x1, ax_y1 = trans.transform_point([x1, y1])
59 ax_x2, ax_y2 = trans.transform_point([x2, y2])
60 ax_dx, ax_dy = ax_x2 - ax_x1, ax_y2 - ax_y1
61 cmap_axes = plt.axes([ax_x1, ax_y1, ax_dx, ax_dy])
62 a = numpy.outer(numpy.arange(0,1,0.01),numpy.ones(10)).transpose()
63 cmap_plt = plt.imshow(a,aspect='auto',cmap=plt.get_cmap(cmap),origin=(0,0))
64 ax = plt.gca()
65 ax.set_xticks([])
66 ax.set_yticks([])
67 ax.set_xticklabels([])
68 ax.set_yticklabels([])
69
70 plt.show()

```

Hint

You may note that there are “gaps” in the visualizations. The reason is that, unlike a normal bandstructure plot, the data is not plotted with lines, but with dots. In a standard bandstructure plot you can – with some level of confidence – define “bands”, which continuously run between the symmetry points (only band crossings can create some small problems). In the present case, the number of solutions is first of all different at each energy (particularly on the complex side), and depending on the density of the energy sampling, you may not hit a particular band close to points where the bands are very flat (heavy effective mass). This can to some extent be alleviated by increasing the number of energy points.

References

- [1]
Yia-Chung Chang and J. N. Schulman. Complex band structures of crystalline solids: an eigenvalue method. *Phys. Rev. B*, 25:3975–3986, Mar 1982. doi:[10.1103/PhysRevB.25.3975](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.25.3975).

© Copyright 2023 Synopsys, Inc. All Rights Reserved.